Screening Criteria

BDCP Conservation Strategy Subgroup Handout Working Draft Conservation Strategy Alternative Screening Criteria March 5, 2007

WORKING DRAFT

Potential Criteria for Screening Preliminary Conceptual Conservation Strategy Alternatives

- 1. Degree to which the alternative achieves Planning Agreement goals and objectives
- 2. Degree to which the alternative improves the ecosystem processes that support covered species and their habitats
- 3. Degree to which the alternative benefits key covered species relative to baseline conditions
- 4. Relative cost of each alternative to achieve a similar level of species benefits compared to other alternatives
- 5. Degree of adaptability to address future unforeseen needs of species
- 6. Potential of the alternative to achieve conservation goals
- 7. Degree to which an alternative could place the non-covered species at risk
- 8. Maintain consistency with the purpose and goals of covered activities to allow for their implementation.
- 9. Minimizes and mitigates to the maximum extent practicable, as appropriate, the take of covered species and provides the basis for issuance of permits consistent with state and federal regulations.
- 10. The degree to which an alternative affects environmental stressors that affect covered species.

